

Exercises for Cosmology & Galaxies (Summer Term 2018)

Lecturer: Christoph Pfrommer & Lutz Wisotzki; Exercises: Kristian Ehlert

Exercise sheet 5

Due: June 19, 2018, 16:00

To be emailed as a pdf or scanned hand-written document (via e-mail to kehlert@aip.de). Remember to put your names on the document and number your pages; you can work in groups of ≤ 2 but every student should hand in his/her own solution sheet and indicate clearly who contributed.

A total of 40 points can be achieved when completing this sheet of which 30 points will count as the maximum attainable.

1. **General questions** (10 points) Please provide a brief and concise answer to the following questions (max. 3 sentences per question):

- (a) What is the so called *initial mass function* (IMF) and how can one constrain the IMF observationally?
- (b) List and describe two different characteristics of the two main types of supernova (SN), i.e. SN Ia and SN II in the context of galaxy formation.
- (c) What are four ingredients you should consider for your model when you want to do stellar population synthesis of a composite stellar population?
- (d) What is the main degeneracy faced when attempting stellar population synthesis of a galaxy, in which stars cannot be resolved individually. Explain a way to overcome this degeneracy.
- (e) Name three different observations that will allow you to constrain the mass to light ratio of a galaxy. Comment on their applicability to large galaxy surveys.

2. **SSP for the zero-age main sequence** (10 points)

Suppose a gas cloud converts instantly into stars following a Salpeter Initial Mass Function with power-law index -2.35. We ignore very high mass and very low mass stars to avoid divergence of the mass integral and stochastic sampling of the IMF. We now explore the properties of such a simple stellar population (SSP) of age zero.

- (a) Derive an analytical relation for the main sequence, using the well-known scaling relations for main sequence stars relating mass M , radius R , luminosity L and effective temperature T_{eff} , $L \sim M^{3.5}$, $R \sim M^{0.75}$ as well as the total power radiated by a black body $L = 4\pi R^2 \sigma_{\text{SB}} T_{\text{eff}}^4$ where σ_{SB} corresponds to the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. To get there, construct relations $T_{\text{eff}}(M)$ and $L(M)$ where M is the mass of the star, and then combine the two into one relation $L(T_{\text{eff}})$.
- (b) Use (a) to construct "minimal" isochrones for various ages, only to the turnoff point (i.e. ignoring all the red giant evolutionary phases). Plot these isochrones into a Hertzsprung-Russell diagram and indicate the turnoff points for different

ages. You may find the following equation useful which approximates the main-sequence lifetime of a star τ_{ms} as,

$$\tau_{\text{ms}} \sim \frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \frac{L_{\odot}}{L} 10 \text{ Gyr.} \quad (1)$$

Derive an approximate analytical relation for the effective temperature at the turnoff point as a function of time.

- (c) If there are 10000 stars with masses between 0.5 and 8 M_{\odot} in this SSP, how many stars have masses between 0.5-1 M_{\odot} (and 1-2, 2-4, 4-8 M_{\odot})?

3. Initial Mass Function (10 points)

Assume the following two parameterizations of the initial mass function with masses in the range $100 M_{\odot} > M > 0.01 M_{\odot}$:

- i. Salpeter with single power-law index $\alpha = -2.3$
- ii. Kroupa (broken power-law) with the power-law index α given by
 - $\alpha = -2.3$ for $0.5 < M/M_{\odot} < 100$
 - $\alpha = -1.3$ for $0.08 < M/M_{\odot} < 0.5$
 - $\alpha = -0.3$ for $0.01 < M/M_{\odot} < 0.08$

- (a) For each IMF calculate the fractional number of stars (relative to the total number) between the following mass intervals (expressed in solar masses):
- i. $0.01 < M < 0.08$
 - ii. $0.08 < M < 0.5$
 - iii. $0.5 < M < 4$
 - iv. $M > 4$

Download an isochrone table from the website: <http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd> for a simple stellar population with age 5 Gyrs (age of the sun), solar metallicity and with a Salpeter IMF (can be selected in the web interface). **Choose version PARSEC version 1.2S (instead of the default PARSEC v1.2S + COLIBRI PR16)**. The other parameters can be left at their default values.

- (b) Find the mass below which 50% of the mass fraction of the population resides.
- (c) Repeat the analysis for a Kroupa IMF.
- (d) What is the relative difference in the total mass of these stellar populations assuming a Salpeter or Kroupa IMF.

4. Evolution of the mass-to-light ratios (10 points)

As discussed in the lecture, the mass-to-light ratio of a galaxy M/L is essentially the total mass divided by the total luminosity of the galaxy. However, only the luminosity L can be observed directly, while the mass M has to be inferred. One way to estimate the mass of a system is to use the predictions of stellar population models to derive the M_p/L_p ratio of a population of stars p with the same age and metallicity. Through

| colour | a_B | b_B | a_V | b_V | a_K | b_K |
|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| $B - V$ | -0.942 | 1.737 | -0.628 | 1.305 | -0.206 | 0.135 |

Table 1: Stellar M/L as a function of colour.

spectral fitting one can determine the relevant populations, which constitute this galaxy. Thus, one knows the mass-to-light ratio of the individual populations M_p/L_p . Finally, one measures the absolute luminosity L of the system and determines the total mass M through calculating the weighted average of the determined populations as $M = \frac{\sum_p^{N_{\text{pop}}} w_p M_p}{\sum_p^{N_{\text{pop}}} w_p L_p} L$, where N_{pop} is the number of populations and w_p correspond to the weights.

The colour of a galaxy is influenced by the main properties of the main stellar populations making up this galaxy, i.e. age, metallicity,... Consequently, the information provided by the colour is sufficient to constrain M/L of the galaxy in the form:

$$\log(M/L_i) = a_i + b_i \times (m_j - m_k) \quad (2)$$

where i, j, k are different filter bands, m_j and m_k are magnitudes (so that $m_j - m_k$ is a colour). Assume we observe a galaxy in the B , V , and K band. Then we can define:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(M/L_B) &= a_B + b_B \times (B - V) \\ \log(M/L_V) &= a_V + b_V \times (B - V) \\ \log(M/L_K) &= a_K + b_K \times (B - V). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Bell et al. (2003, ApJS, 149, 289), used a large sample of galaxies from SDSS and the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) with a similar method as described above to determine the fit parameters listed in Table 1.

Here, instead of looking at galaxies, we will apply the equations 3 to a simple stellar populations where all stars share the same age in a given population. You will compare how the estimates of M/L depend on the different color bands and on the ages as well as metallicities of the populations. Table 2. lists the B and V magnitudes of these stellar populations of varying ages and metallicities.

- (a) Plot the $B - V$ colour as a function of age for both metallicities.
- (b) Plot the mass to light ratio for the V , B and K bands and for the given metallicities as a function of time.
- (c) Fit the evolution of M/L as a function of time t to a simple power law (i.e., determine α in $M/L \sim t^\alpha$) for the three different bands and the two given metallicities.
- (d) Discuss the results. Briefly state the similarities and possible differences in the temporal evolution of M/L for the three different bands. What is the effect of varying the metallicity?

| [Fe/H] | age [Gyr] | B | V | [Fe/H] | age [Gyr] | B | V |
|--------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 0.0 | 1 | -9.25 | -9.84 | +0.3 | 1 | -8.90 | -9.63 |
| 0.0 | 1.5 | -8.81 | -9.57 | +0.3 | 1.5 | -8.44 | -9.29 |
| 0.0 | 2 | -8.53 | -9.37 | +0.3 | 2 | -8.14 | -9.08 |
| 0.0 | 2.5 | -8.33 | -9.21 | +0.3 | 2.5 | -7.92 | -8.88 |
| 0.0 | 3 | -8.17 | -9.08 | +0.3 | 3 | -7.74 | -8.72 |
| 0.0 | 3.5 | -8.01 | -8.92 | +0.3 | 3.5 | -7.61 | -8.61 |
| 0.0 | 4 | -7.87 | -8.79 | +0.3 | 4.5 | -7.49 | -8.51 |
| 0.0 | 4.5 | -7.75 | -8.67 | +0.3 | 5 | -7.39 | -8.42 |
| 0.0 | 5 | -7.64 | -8.56 | +0.3 | 5 | -7.30 | -8.34 |
| 0.0 | 5.5 | -7.55 | -8.48 | +0.3 | 5.5 | -7.21 | -8.26 |
| 0.0 | 6 | -7.46 | -8.41 | +0.3 | 6 | -7.12 | -8.18 |
| 0.0 | 6.5 | -7.39 | -8.35 | +0.3 | 6.5 | -7.05 | -8.11 |
| 0.0 | 7 | -7.32 | -8.29 | +0.3 | 7 | -6.98 | -8.05 |
| 0.0 | 7.5 | -7.25 | -8.23 | +0.3 | 7.5 | -6.91 | -7.99 |
| 0.0 | 8 | -7.19 | -8.18 | +0.3 | 8 | -6.78 | -7.87 |
| 0.0 | 8.5 | -7.13 | -8.12 | +0.3 | 8.5 | -6.78 | -7.87 |
| 0.0 | 9 | -7.07 | -8.07 | +0.3 | 9 | -6.72 | -7.82 |
| 0.0 | 9.5 | -7.02 | -8.02 | +0.3 | 9.5 | -6.67 | -7.77 |
| 0.0 | 10 | -6.97 | -7.97 | +0.3 | 10 | -6.61 | -7.72 |

Table 2: B and V magnitudes for individual stellar populations as a function of age and metallicity.