Cosmic ray feedback from AGNs

Christoph Pfrommer

Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies, Germany

Jul 28, 2014 / *Inhomogeneities in the Intracluster Plasma,* Stanford

Galactic cosmic ray spectrum



data compiled by Swordy

- power-law momentum spectrum with 33 decades in flux and 12 decades in energy
- likely origin: diffusive shock acceleration at supernova remnants ($E \lesssim 10^{17}$ eV)

Galactic cosmic ray spectrum



data compiled by Swordy

- power-law momentum spectrum with 33 decades in flux and 12 decades in energy
- likely origin: diffusive shock acceleration at supernova remnants ($E \lesssim 10^{17}$ eV)
- pressure of cosmic rays, magnetic fields, and turbulence in the interstellar gas all similar:

  $\rightarrow$ CR pressure in cluster cores?
  $\rightarrow$ impact of CRs on cooling gas and star formation in ellipticals?

Interactions of CRs and magnetic fields

- CRs scatter on magnetic fields $\rightarrow$ isotropization of CR momenta
- **CR streaming instability:** Kulsrud & Pearce 1969
  - if $v_{\rm cr} > v_{\rm waves}$ with respect to the gas, CR excite Alfvén waves
  - scattering off this wave field limits the CRs' bulk speed $\ll c$
  - wave damping: transfer of CR energy and momentum to the thermal gas



Interactions of CRs and magnetic fields

- CRs scatter on magnetic fields → isotropization of CR momenta
- **CR streaming instability:** Kulsrud & Pearce 1969
  - if $v_{\rm cr} > v_{\rm waves}$ with respect to the gas, CR excite Alfvén waves
  - scattering off this wave field limits the CRs' bulk speed $\ll c$
  - wave damping: transfer of CR energy and momentum to the thermal gas



→ **CRs exert a pressure on the thermal gas by means of scattering off Alfvén waves and heat the surrounding gas**

cool-core heating: Loewenstein+ 1991, Guo & Oh 2008, C.P. 2013

CR transport

- total CR velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm cr} = \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm di}$ (where $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm gas}$)
- CRs stream down their own pressure gradient relative to the gas, CRs diffuse in the wave frame due to pitch angle scattering by MHD waves (both transports are along the local direction of $\boldsymbol{B}$):

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st} = -v_{\rm A} \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}|} \text{ with } v_{\rm A} = \sqrt{\frac{\boldsymbol{B}^2}{4\pi\rho}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm di} = -\kappa_{\rm di} \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}}{P_{\rm cr}},$$

CR transport

- total CR velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm cr} = \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm di}$ (where $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm gas}$)
- CRs stream down their own pressure gradient relative to the gas, CRs diffuse in the wave frame due to pitch angle scattering by MHD waves (both transports are along the local direction of $\boldsymbol{B}$):

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st} = -v_{\rm A} \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}|} \text{ with } v_{\rm A} = \sqrt{\frac{\boldsymbol{B}^2}{4\pi\rho}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm di} = -\kappa_{\rm di} \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}}{P_{\rm cr}},$$

- energy equations with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\rm th} + \rho v^2/2$:

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot [(\varepsilon + P_{\rm th} + P_{\rm cr})\boldsymbol{v}] = P_{\rm cr} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} + |\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}|$$

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\rm cr}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\varepsilon_{\rm cr} \boldsymbol{v}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot [(\varepsilon_{\rm cr} + P_{\rm cr})\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st}] = -P_{\rm cr} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} - |\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm st} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr}|$$

Messier 87 at radio wavelengths



$\nu = 1.4$ GHz (Owen+ 2000)

- expectation: low frequencies sensitive to fossil electrons ($E \sim 100$ MeV) $\rightarrow$ time-integrated activity of AGN feedback!

Messier 87 at radio wavelengths



$\nu = 1.4$ GHz (Owen+ 2000)



$\nu = 140$ MHz (LOFAR/de Gasperin+ 2012)

- expectation: low frequencies sensitive to fossil electrons ($E \sim 100$ MeV) $\rightarrow$ time-integrated activity of AGN feedback!
- LOFAR: halo confined to same region at all frequencies and no low-ν spectral steepening $\rightarrow$ puzzle of "missing fossil electrons"

Solutions to the "missing fossil electrons" problem

solutions:

- special time: M87 turned on $\sim$ 40 Myr ago after long silence
  $\Leftrightarrow$ conflicts order unity duty cycle inferred from stat. AGN feedback studies (Birzan+ 2012)

Solutions to the “missing fossil electrons” problem

solutions:

- special time: M87 turned on $\sim$ 40 Myr ago after long silence
  $\Leftrightarrow$ conflicts order unity duty cycle inferred from stat. AGN feedback studies (Birzan+ 2012)
- Coulomb cooling removes fossil electrons
  $\rightarrow$ efficient mixing of CR electrons and protons with dense cluster gas
  $\rightarrow$ predicts γ rays from CRp-p interactions:
  $p + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + \ldots \rightarrow 2\gamma + \ldots$



C.P. (2013)

The gamma-ray picture of M87

- high state is time variable
  → jet emission
- low state:
  (1) steady flux
  (2) γ-ray spectral index (2.2)
  = CRp index
  = CRe injection index as probed by LOFAR
  (3) spatial extension is under investigation (?)



Rieger & Aharonian (2012)

→ **confirming this triad would be smoking gun for first γ-ray signal from a galaxy cluster!**

Estimating the CR pressure in M87

- X-ray data $\rightarrow$ n and T profiles
- assume $X_{\rm cr} = P_{\rm cr}/P_{\rm th} = \text{const.}$ (self-consistency requirement)
- $F_\gamma \propto \int \mathrm{d}V\, P_{\rm cr} n$ enables to estimate $X_{\rm cr} = 0.31$ (allowing for Coulomb cooling with $\tau_{\rm Coul} = 40\,\text{Myr}$)



Rieger & Aharonian (2012)

$\rightarrow$ in agreement with non-thermal pressure constraints from dynamical potential estimates (Churazov+ 2010)

Cosmic-ray heating vs. radiative cooling (1)

CR Alfvén-wave heating:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm cr} = -\boldsymbol{v}_A \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr} = -v_A \left(X_{\rm cr} \nabla_r \langle P_{\rm th} \rangle_\Omega + \frac{\delta P_{\rm cr}}{\delta l} \right)$$

- Alfvén velocity $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$ with $B \sim B_{\rm eq}$ from LOFAR and ρ from X-ray data
- $X_{\rm cr}$ calibrated to γ rays
- $P_{\rm th}$ from X-ray data
- pressure fluctuations $\delta P_{\rm cr}/\delta l$ (e.g., due to weak shocks of $\mathcal{M} \simeq 1.1$)

Cosmic-ray heating vs. radiative cooling (1)

CR Alfvén-wave heating:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm cr} = -\boldsymbol{v}_A \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{\rm cr} = -v_A \left(X_{\rm cr} \nabla_r \langle P_{\rm th} \rangle_\Omega + \frac{\delta P_{\rm cr}}{\delta l} \right)$$

- Alfvén velocity $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$ with $B \sim B_{\rm eq}$ from LOFAR and ρ from X-ray data
- $X_{\rm cr}$ calibrated to γ rays
- $P_{\rm th}$ from X-ray data
- pressure fluctuations $\delta P_{\rm cr}/\delta l$ (e.g., due to weak shocks of $\mathcal{M} \simeq 1.1$)

radiative cooling:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rm rad} = n_e n_i \Lambda_{\rm cool}(T, Z)$$

- cooling function $\Lambda_{\rm cool}$ with $Z \simeq Z_\odot$, all quantities determined from X-ray data

Cosmic-ray heating vs. radiative cooling (2)

Global thermal equilibrium on all scales in M87



C.P. (2013)

Local stability analysis (1)



- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations

Local stability analysis (1)



- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations

Local stability analysis (1)



- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations

Local stability analysis (1)



- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations

Local stability analysis (2)

Theory predicts observed temperature floor at $kT \simeq 1$ keV



C.P. (2013)

Virgo cluster cooling flow: temperature profile

X-ray observations confirm temperature floor at $kT \simeq 1$ keV



(Matsushita+ 2002)

Impact of varying Alfvén speed on CR heating

global thermal equilibrium:



local stability criterion:



parametrize $B \propto \rho^{\alpha_B}$, which implies $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho} \propto \rho^{\alpha_B - 1/2}$:

- $\alpha_B = 0.5$ is the geometric mean, implying $v_A = \text{const.}$
- $\alpha_B = 0$ for collapse along ***B***, implying $v_{A,\parallel} \propto \rho^{-1/2}$
- $\alpha_B = 1$ for collapse perpendicular to ***B***, implying $v_{A,\perp} \propto \rho^{1/2}$

Critical length scale of the instability ($\sim$ Fields length)



C.P. (2013)

CR heating dominates over thermal conduction



C.P. (2013)

Prediction: flattening of high-ν radio spectrum



C.P. (2013)

Conclusions on AGN feedback by cosmic-ray heating

- LOFAR puzzle of “missing fossil electrons” solved by mixing with dense cluster gas and Coulomb cooling
- predicted γ rays identified with low state of M87
  $\rightarrow$ estimate CR-to-thermal pressure of $X_{\rm cr} = 0.31$
- CR Alfvén wave heating balances radiative cooling on all scales within the radio halo ($r < 35$ kpc)
- local thermal stability analysis predicts observed temperature floor at $kT \simeq 1$ keV

outlook: simulate steaming CRs coupled to MHD, cosmological cluster simulations, improve γ-ray and radio observations . . .

cf. Loewenstein et al. (1991), Guo & Oh (2008), Enßlin et al. (2011)

Literature for the talk

AGN feedback by cosmic rays:

- Pfrommer, *Toward a comprehensive model for feedback by active galactic nuclei: new insights from M87 observations by LOFAR, Fermi and H.E.S.S.*, 2013, ApJ, 779, 10.